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**ABSTRACT**

The demand for geographical indication (GI) rice has increased amongst Chinese consumers, which makes the GI rice at high risk of adulteration due to the high value and limited production. This study aimed to develop a novel strategy to determine geographical origins of Chinese GI rice, which was non-targeted data analysis based on multi-element profiling using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). One hundred and thirty-one samples from six types of Chinese GI rice were analyzed, and 80 % and 20 % of the dataset were used as training set and testing set respectively. Two machine learning algorithms, support vector machines (SVM) and random forest (RF), along with feature slection (reliefF algorithm) were implemented to build classificaition models. For both SVM and RF, four elements (Al, Rb, B, and Na) only could enable the prediction of geographical origins with 100% accuracy. These results demonstrate that using ICP-MS combined with machine learning techniques is an effective strategy forauthenticating GI rice in China.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In the current world of globalization, geographical authentication of food materials has emerged as an important issue, which is crucial for both quality assurance and food safety1. The notion of geographical indication (GI) first originated from the 19th century in Europe, with the purpose of protecting industrial property rights2. Nowadays, products with GI certification generally possess given quality, reputations or other characteristics attributable to geographical origins3, thus making it possible for them to be differentiated from competitors’. According to the European Union (EU) quality schemes, three different systems have been enforced to protect the origin integrity of agroproducts and food: protected designation of origin (PDO), protected geographical indication (PGI) and traditional specialties guaranteed (TSG)4. Currently in China, three government sectors supervise and protect GIs from different aspects at the administrative level5, including the State Administration for Industry and Commerce/ the Trademark Office (SAIC/TMO), the General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine (AQSIQ), and the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA).

Rice (*Oryza sativa L.)* is among the world’s top three largest food crops and is a staple food for nearly 50% of the world population6. China is leading in the rice paddy production in the world, with 220 million metric tons in 20187.With the improvement of people’s living standard, there is a growing domestic demand for rice with traceable origins8. However, due to the gap between the limited production and high market demand, GI rice become volunerable to adulteration such as partial substitution and fraudulent labeling9,10. Therefore, determination of geographical origins of rice is of great importance for protecting the rights of farmers, retailers and consumers3.

Recent years, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis with the aid of multivariate analysis (MVA) and machine learning (ML) techniques have been developed to address the issue of geographical authentication of rice11–13. Being able to simultaneously detect both metal and non-metal elements, ICP-MS has the advantage of high throughput measurement with wide dynamic range and relateviely simple sample preparation14. Beyond the advance of ICP-MS, it is also of great importance to ensure the large volumn of data generated can be properly processed and interpreted15. As summarized in a recent review16, MVA such as principal component analysis (PCA) and linear discriminate analysis (LDA) are by far the dominant methods for data processing, due to their simplicity in spotting hidden trend embedded in the dataset. However, with the complexity and volume of data increasing, more advanced models based on pattern recognition are in urgent demand17. In the past decade, ML has demonstrated its capability to process complex problems, particularly in the domain of ecology18, biomedical19,20 , astronomy21 and bioinformatics22. Yet by far, only a few studies have explored the application of ML in geological authentication12,23

The aim of this study was to develop a novel ML-based framework for the determination of geographical origins of six types of Chinese GI rice. SVM and RF were utilized to uncover the hidden information in the element profiling obtained by ICP-MS, and thereby construct reliable predition models. Furthermore, feature selection was also applied to identify biomarkers that contributed the most to the differentiation between GI rices.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Rice samples*

In this study, a total of one hundred and thirty-one Chinese GI rice samples were directly collected from credible rice processing factories in five provinces in China (Heilongjiang, Liaoning, Jiangsu, Hubei and Guangxi). For simplicity’s sake, we named those samples as WC, PJ-1, PJ-2, SY, JS and GG. An overview of the geographical information of samples was shown in Fig. 1.

*Reagents and standards*

Nitric acid (69%, part# 100441) was purchased from Merck Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany). Deionized water (18.3MΩ cm) was obtained from a Milli-Q system (Millipore, MA, USA). Multi-element calibration standard 2A (part# 8500-6940) and 4 (part# 8500-6942), environmental calibration standard (part# 5183-4688), 45Sc standard (part# 5190-8578) and 103Rh (part# 8500-6945) standards were purchased from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The certified reference material (CRM) of rice flour (1568b) was purchased from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, USA).

*ICP-MS analysis*

Firstly, 0.5 g of rice grains was weighed in a Teflon digestion vessel and mixed with 6mL of nitric acid. The vessel was placed in a fume hood overnight for pre-digestion and then transferred to the microwave oven (Anton Paar, Austria) for acid digestion. The digestion temperature of 180 °C was gradually reached in 15 min, and held for 20 min. Following the digestion, the solution was cooled down to room temperature and diluted to 50mL with dionized water. To avoid cross contamination, all materials including the digestion vessels were soaked in a nitric acid solution (30% in water, *v*/*v*) for 24h and rinsed with deionized water for three times.

The concentrations of 30 elements (10B, 23Na, 24Mg, 27Al, 39K, 43Ca, 45Sc, 48Ti, 51V, 52Cr, 55Mn, 56Fe, 59Co, 60Ni, 65Cu, 66Zn, 70Ga, 73Ge, 75As, 78Se, 85Rb, 86Sr, 93Nb, 98Mo, 107Ag, 114Cd, 133Cs, 138Ba, 201Hg, 208Pb) were measured with Agilent 7900 ICP-MS (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The instrumental setting and operative conditions were adopted from a published method24 with some modifications: radio frequency power of 1550 W, radio frequency matching of 1.85 V and carrier gas flow rate of 1.05 L·min-1. An online internal standard solution of 103Rh (10 mg · L-1) was used to correct matrix effects and compensate for possible instrumental deviations. CRM were digested and analyzed following the same procedure above to verify the accuracy of the ICP-MS analysis. The digestion and analysis for each rice sample were repeated in duplicate.

*Statistical analysis*

To compare the levels of elements in the GI rice samples, the original dataset was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) coupled with Tukey’s test (*p* ≤ 0.05). The dataset was then scaled by taking logarithmic transformation and subjected to unsupervised PCA for initial visualization. Two machine learning algorithms, RF and SVM were implemented to construct classifiers. RF was first introduced by Breiman25 and it is made of an ensemble of decision trees, which are generated from original dataset using bootstrap partition. SVM makes classifications by projecting the input vectors into a high dimensional space, and finding a hyperplane that could separate different classes26. Feature selection is a data processing technique for data mining, aiming to identify pertinent features while discarding irrelevant ones, which are not informative but contribute to the overall dimensionality of the problem space27. In our study, ReliefF28,29 was utilized as the feature selector by assigning relative importance to features basing on a calculated proxy statistic29. Fig. 2 showed the framework for the training of classifiers and the validation of the classification models:

* + - * The scaled dataset was randomly splitted into a training set (sample number: 104) and a testing set (sample number: 27) in a stratified fashion (80:20).
      * The ReliefF algorithm was applied to the training set so that all 30 features were ranked based on their differentiating power. Following this, step-wise forward selection30 and hyperparameter optimization (Table S1) was conducted. A 10-fold grid search cross validation was conducted to select the optimal classifiers with both SVM and RF.
      * The optimal classifiers were independently validated on the testing set, and the prediction accuracy was reported

All data analysis were carried out by R (R Core Team, 2019), RStudio (v3.5.1, Boston, MA, USA) and Python (v3.7, Python Core Team) with additional packages: dplyr (R)31, factoextra (R)32, FSelector (R)32, sklearn (Python)33, skrebate (Python)34, numpy (Python)35 and pandas (Python)36 .

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

*Elemental concentrations*

As shown in Table S2, the measured concentrations of elements in CRM agreed well with the certified values, indicating the high accuracy of the ICP-MS analysis. Table 1 shows the measured concentrations of 30 elements in the six typies of Chinese GI rice. Overall, except for 208Pb, significant differences could be observed among all elements across all types of rice. However, it was not obvious which element contributed the most to differentiate all types of rice.

*PCA analysis*

As shown in Fig. 3a, the 1st and 2nd principal component (PC) were accountable for 60.7% of the total variance, and a clear separation was observed among PJ-1, GG and the rest types. While for JS, PJ-2, SY and WC, no satisfactory separation could be achieved. The loading plot (Fig. 3b) showed that 27Al, 70Ga, 93Nb,51V and 48Ti primarily contributed to the variations on PC1, while 23Na, 45Sc, 85Rb, 133Cs and 114Cd contributed to both PC1 and PC2. Notably, PJ-1 and PJ-2 could be clearly separated, despite that they were from the same geological origin (Fig. 3a). A possible explaination could be that cultivar types could also contribute to the elemental composition in rice kernals11.

*Determination of geographical origins*

High quality sampling is fundamental to achieve reliable results from multivariate model building37, while sample scaricity along with unrepresentative sampling are major reasons leading to unreliable classification38. In this study, rather than sampling from the market, we obtained all GI rice samples from reliable sources, which ensured the authencitity of samples and minimized the risk of modeling with “contaminated” dataset.

To date, ML algorithms such as support vector machines (SVM) and random forest (RF), have been reported to outperform traditional MVA39 and lead to predition models with increased reliability and robustness12,40 . Fig. 4 showed the key results obtained from the training of classifiers. Beyond the function of dimention reduction before performing machine learning, feature selection is also capable to identify key features with high predictability, namely biomarkers41. The relative importance of each of the 30 elements is shown in Fig. 4a, indicating that 27Al, 85Rb, 10B, 23Na, and 86Sr were the leading elements that contributed the most to the differentiation of all types of GI rice. With only one selected feature (27Al), the mean cross-validation accuracy of 48% and 63% were achieved for RF and SVM, respectively (Fig. 4b). The performance of both RF and SVM boosted significantly with more features been added. Eventually, with only four features (27Al, 85Rb, 10B, and 23Na), the mean cross-validation accuracy of 100% was obtained by both RF and SVM with optimal hyperparameters applied (Fig. 4b). .

Previous study showed that an early exposure of feature selection to the entire dataset prior to building and validating the model often leads to too optimistic performance, which was usually refered as selection bias42 (reference). Krawczuk et al. showed that the deviation from the true validation accuracy caused by the selection bias was profound (from 2.6% to 41.67%). Similar observation was made by Ambroise et al., that they re-examined the experiment done by Guyon et al. and found a non-negligible deviation to true validation as well (from 17.5% to 30%). Consequently, Krawczuk et al. concluded that cross-validation on training set only for feature selection would help eliminate the selection bias. To avoid such selection bias and construct reliable models, we carefully implemented the feature selection in our workflow in accordance with the conclusion made by Krawczuk et al.

While cross-validation was applied to assess the goodness-of-fit of modeling within the training set, it lacks the ability to represent the future working situation of the particular prediction model43. According to the Principle of Proper Validation (PPV)43, independent validation using a separate dataset (testing set), is the one and only valid paradigm43 for model assessment. The result of independent validation using the testing set is shown in table 2. According to the result, with the information from only 4 selected elements (out of 30 elements been tested), both classifiers (SVM and RF) could predicit the geographical originis of all six types of GI rice with 100% accuracy.This indicated the success of the framework we have established, especially in constructing reliable prediction models while simultaneously identified potential biomarkers responsible for the differentiation.. In a similar study where feature selection was also applied, it was also found that a small goup of elements (14Cd, 85Rb, 12Mg and19K) were the most relevant for the differientiation between rice samples obtained from two geological orgins in Brazil12. To further visualize the different element patterns of six types of GI rice, we plotted the relative median concentrations of four selected elements in radar plots. As shown in Fig. 5 , the element profile of each GI rice was significantly different. Interestingly, the level of 27Al varied significantly between PJ-1 and PJ-2, which were sampled from the same geological location: the highest level of 27Al was found in PJ-1, while the least concentration was found in PJ-2. Besides, the elements of 23Na, 85Rb, and 10B, all showed considerably different composition in PJ-1 and PJ-2. Such observation agreed with previous findings that, cultivar types also majorly impact on the element composition in rice44,45. Overall, it remains a challenging task to elucidate the rationale for why in our case, the four elements showed strong differentiation power. The complexity here, shall partially attribute to the sample diversity. In this study, we collected samples from all three dominate rice producing regions in China, including the northeast China plain (WC, PJ-1, and PJ-2), Yangtze River Basin (SY, JS), and southeast coastal region (GG). Such wide geological sampling scope, introduced multiple layers of variables (e.g. soil characteristics, agricultural practices, and genotype variation), which are all closely related to the element profile of crops46,47.

Beyond the four selected elements (27Al, 85Rb, 10B, and 23Na), the element of 114Cd also drew our attention. In a study on Brazilian rice12 mentioned previoulsy, it was found that the level of 114Cd alone can be used to differentiate rice from two geological origins. The author further pointed out that it was the difference in irrigation methods applied to paddy filed, that resulted in the variance of 114Cd composition in rice. According to our results, 114Cd was found in all six types of GI rice, with the GG rice, which was sampled from Guangxi province, having the highest level (Table 1). To test the efficiency of the ML-based framework we established, we first regrouped the original dataset as GG rice and non-GG rice. According to the result of feature selection, it was found that 114Cd was identified as the element with the most differentiation power over the two subgroups. Fig. 6 visualized the significant difference of 114Cd concentration between GG and non-GG rice samples (*P* < 0.05). Ultimately, the independent validation by testing set confirmed that 114Cd along can be used to differentiate GG rice and non-GG rice, with 100% predication accuracy. A previous national scale study showed that the concentration of 114Cd in paddy soils from different Chinese regions varied significantly, majorly due to the combination of geogenic factors and anthropogenic pollutions (e.g. mining)48. Particularly, the highest level of 114Cd can be found in southeast coastal regions including Hunan and Guangxi province48. With this, we concluded that by applying the ML-based framework , GG rice can be differentiated from all other GI rice, with the information provided by 114Cd alone. To further protect GI rice from potential fraudulent activities, “positive” samples (e.g. GI rice mixed with serial doses of “look-alikes”49) shall be introduced into the classification in future studies.

Our study demonstrated that multi-elemental profiling using ICP-MS coupled with ML techniques, could differentiate six types of Chinese GI rice with extremely high accuracy. Particularly, we identified four elements with the most differentiation power. This opens the door for future study on the application of fast, and low-cost multi-element profiling tools such as X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometry50, in the determination of rice geological origins.
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